

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/214,881	OZAKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Patrick J. Nolan	1644	

**All Participants:**

(1) Patrick J. Nolan.

(2) Chreis Buntel.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 July 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14 and 22-23

Prior art documents discussed:

*Shirakawa et al., JBC Vol. 267, pages 6641-6645, 1992*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant agreed to cancel claims 22 and 23 and amend claim 14 by the removal of SEQ ID NOS 1,2,4 and 6 in view of Applicant's own publications.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)